

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/583,321 05/30/2000 Charles R. Berg 1549 07/29/2003 7590 William J Kramer EXAMINER Marshall Gerstein & Borun HARRISON, JESSICA 6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Chicago, IL 60606-6357 3714

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

00

Advisory	Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/583,321	BERG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jessica J. Harrison	3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
4 🗔 A Nation of Annual was filed on
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
<del>-</del> · · ·
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>82-100</u> .

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of record does provide a "simulation" of a knowledge based game. As modified, Vancura would not accept player input thus would be simulating a knowledge based game as proposed in the new claims. Brossard teaches randomly selecting the 'answer' for the player after an entertaining display of known length in order to control the speed of play. The rejection incorporates this feature into Vancura so that Vancura's speed of play can be controlled. The incorporation is possible since Vancura encompases a "no knowledge" or random game in his odds calculations. The other minor claim language changes proposed appear to be fully obviated by the rejection of record as well. The amendment would be entered upon appeal due to the substatnial reduciton in issues to be considered; however the amendments do not distinguish over the combination applied.

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER